



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,099	12/11/2000	Bernd Fischer	D078 1090 (41461.0010.0)	2645
26158	7590	02/20/2008	EXAMINER	
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING 32ND FLOOR P.O. BOX 7037 ATLANTA, GA 30357-0037			DICUS, TAMRA	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1794		
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/20/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/700,099	FISCHER ET AL.
	Examiner TAMRA L. DICUS	Art Unit 1794

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2007.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 4-6,9 and 11-24 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 4-6,9 and 11-15 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

The claim objection, 112 second rejections, and all other rejections except where applied below are withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments. The following rejections have been adjusted to accommodate the amendment set forth below:

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6, 9, 11, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thoen et al (US 5910358).

Thoen teaches a floor covering comprising a latex composition including a polyolefin binder mixture of interpolymers including ethylenes and alpha-olefins (6:1-7) where an ethylene/propylene interpolymer (referred also as substantially linear ethylene polymers having melt flows greater than 5, meets Applicant's range greater than 3, 16:40-45) comprises alpha-olefins C3-C20 including 1-octene (12:5-30, 14:3-23, 16:40-68, embraces i) and wherein the latex is dispersed in powder form, with MAH-g-PE (embraces ii) or LLDPE (8:41-55). The polyolefin composition (being a LLDPE or HDPE-g-MAH-8:40-55) is crosslinked with a dicumyl peroxide (claim 11) prior to or after extrusion and foaming processes (10:40-53, 10:64-

68-11:5) for effectuating thermal oxidative crosslinking. Thoen identifies the substantially linear ethylene having densities of about 0.875 to 0.91 g/cc (falling in Applicant's range as claimed, see 18:40). The latex composition (and mixtures of such polymers, because it is an interpolymer, more than one, including three polymers are implied, also see 17:14-17) is in the composition and the crosslinking takes place after foaming also (9:1-10, 9:25, 10:13-49, 14, 17, 18) and thus, this blended mixture composition of Thoen embraces the polymeric binder because it is a blend, the crosslinking polyolefin and grafted HDPE are in one copolymer and thus meets instant claim 4. The densities and melt flow indices are inherent properties because the same material is used (instant claims 4, see Applicant's specification page 3, line 1 and overlapping range of melt flow and densities indicated above). Foaming aids (processing) are used also (9:6-12). Print layers are employed as well as a unicolor background (homogenous) for a design on the foam polyolefin layers (see 11: 1-68-12:1-6, claim 15) and the composition as contains fillers which pigments are well known as fillers to include for coloring and also claims the latex composition integrated (comprised) with the top layer including the print inherently including pigment (see patented claims 1 and 2). See also Table 7 mixing B, C, and D resins of the aforesaid polymers in weight percentages of 30%-70% by weight, equivalent to weight ratio of claim 5 (4:1 to 3:2 is 80/20% to 60/40%). See also patented claims 1-2, 6, 8-10 and 22. Instant claims 1-6, 11, 13, and 15 are addressed.

Thoen does not teach the weight percentages recited per claims 4 and 9.

However, Thoen teaches fillers such as the MAH-g-HDPE are added for improved resiliency and strength (8:45-55, instant claims 9-10).

Because Thoen does not teach the amounts of the materials employed, it is necessary for the skilled artisan to determine the workable ranges and optimize the effects of the fillers by varying the amounts employed. It is submitted the optimal and/or claimed values of the respective material would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time the invention is made since it has long being held that such discovery, such as an optimum value of the respective result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re boesch*, 617 F.2d 272,205 USPQ 215(CCPA 1980). The amount of grafting effects resiliency and strength of the composition. The amount of copolymers affects the properties of the copolymer, such as density and melt flow (14:1-68, 15, 16, 17, 18).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN Thoen et al. in view of Schuppiser (US 4439574) or in view of Pontiff et al. (US 4702868).

Thoen is relied upon as set forth above.

Regarding claim 12, Thoen does not teach co-crosslinking agents as claimed.

Schuppiser teaches the acrylate polyol derivation in an olefin copolymer as a monomer for crosslinking and processing of the polymer. The resultant polymer exhibits good water resistance for floor coverings. See col. 3, and especially lines 15-25, Abstract, and col. 1, lines 1-25.

Pontiff teaches a crosslinked polyolefin teaching Examples of monomers copolymerizable with ethylene and other olefins are vinyl acetate, vinyl chloride, propylene, butene, hexene, acrylic acid and its esters, and methacrylic acid and its esters. The other polymer

that can be blended with the ethylene homopolymer or copolymer may be any polymer compatible with it.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the flooring of Thoen including the crosslinking agents as per instant claim 12 because Schuppiser teaches the agents are for crosslinking and processing of the polymer. The resultant polymer exhibits good water resistance for floor coverings. See col. 3, and especially lines 15-25, Abstract, and col. 1, lines 1-25.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the flooring of Thoen because Pontiff teaches acrylic acid and methacrylic blended with ethylene or its copolymer with any compatible polymer (7:15-68, 8:1-20). The overall composition aids in lower costs see 3:35-45, col. 7, Abstract of Pontiff.

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN Thoen et al. in view of USPN 6,399,689 to Scarlette.

Thoen is relied upon as above.

Regarding claim 14, Thoen does not teach a mixture of filler comprising mineral intergrowths. Further to claim 15, the pigment included in the print of Thoen is on top of the latex binder composition as a layer; however, if claim 15 is intended to mean comprising as in mixed within the binder, the rejection below applies.

Scarlette teaches fillers, pigments, and an alumina ceramic grain composition blended into any floor finishing composition for enhancing abrasion resistance without compromising flexibility, hardness, and adhesion (col. 2, lines 30-45, col. 3, lines 35-41) and specifically uses alumina to enhance physical properties when included with mineral particles and teaches aluminates having a crystal structure in platelet shape (col. 5, lines 25-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the floor covering of Thoen to utilize a filler mixture of platelet-shaped and crystalline mineral intergrowths because Scarlette teaches such an alumina filler enhances physical properties and abrasion resistance without compromising flexibility, hardness, and adhesion (col. 3, lines 35-41 and col. 5, lines 25-49). Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the floor covering of Thoen with pigments in a design and mineral intergrowth fillers of a homogenous construction since it is known that such a mixture provides decorative color and an effective filler for the flooring to provide for a consistent composition for the flooring as taught above.

*Response to Arguments*

Applicant's arguments of 11/28/07 have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant has amended the claims to a binder mixture (while the preamble is to a flooring, not a composition), amending claim 4 to include claim 10. Applicant alleges that Thoen doesn't disclose the claimed invention since claim 4 is amended to recite the percentages in claim 10, which was indicated prior in the obviousness rejection. Applicant has not made any

arguments to why the weight percentage of claim 10 is not obvious over Thoen, and the Examiner maintains the same reasoning for upholding the weight percentage as obvious (see above).

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAMRA L. DICUS whose telephone number is (571)272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tamra L. Dicus /TLD/  
Examiner  
Art Unit 1794  
February 5, 2008

/Terrel Morris/  
Terrel Morris  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Group Art Unit 1794